

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,274	03/03/2004	Ryoji Ninomiya	008312-0308597	8948	
909	7590 09/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP			WALKER, KEITH D		
	P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/791,274	NINOMIYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Keith Walker	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 June 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 4-19 and 21-33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-17 and 25-33 is/as 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 18,19 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the contraction of the c	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P					

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

Claims 4-19 & 21-33 are pending in the application and claims 4-17 & 25-33 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 18, 19, 21-24 are pending examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 5/24/06 has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18, 19 & 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 18, it is unclear if the statement in brackets "[indicating the remaining amount of fuel sensed by the sensing unit]" is a positive limitation. It is not clear from the language if this statement is supposed to be further limiting to the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 18, 19 & 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,057,051 (Uchida).

Uchida describes a personal computer, which has a body having a display unit and a controller. A detachable fuel cell unit powers the computer and comprises a fuel cell, a fuel tank and a sensor for detecting the amount of fuel remaining (Fig. 1; 3:1-40, 7:39-54). The sensor detects the amount of fuel remaining and a control unit relays the information from the sensor to the display unit (7:39-54). The status of low fuel on the display unit would prompt one to replace the fuel in order to keep the apparatus working. A replaceable fuel tank supplies the fuel cell with the appropriate fuel (7:33-37). If no fuel tank is present then the sensor shows a low fuel status on the display, indicating a bad connection or no connection of the fuel tank to the apparatus. It is inherent that a personal computer has a memory and a processing unit (CPU) to operate the functions of the computer and fuel cell system, such as calculating the amount of fuel remaining, operating times and operating conditions (7:39-60).

Concerning claim 24, the limitations are seen as a process of operating and while the limitations have been considered, they are not given patentable weight. The process of operating the apparatus does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Uchida is silent to the use of a storage unit for storing the information relating to the amount of fuel. However, Uchida does teach calculating the remaining operating

time based on detecting a multitude of operating parameters such as fuel pressure, fuel flow rate and amount of water formed by the fuel cell. After the detection of each of the operating parameters, which would be run at multiple predetermined times so an "live" remaining operating time can be displayed, the controller would store the information so calculations could be performed to send the remaining time to the display. Since Uchida teaches the electronic apparatus to be a personal computer, it inherently has a temporary memory for storage called cache. The cache provides the storage means for storing the operating information. Furthermore, other data is collected and used for determining operating times and conditions such as the voltage and current of the fuel cell, the temperature and fan operation condition. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to have the collected data stored for calculating status conditions such as operating times.

2. Claims 18, 19 & 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,057,051 (Uchida) in view of US Patent 6,522,955 (Colborn).

The teachings of Uchida as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Uchida is silent to the use of a storage unit for storing the information relating to the amount of fuel.

Colborn teaches a fuel cell unit having a fuel cell, a fuel tank, sensors and a communication device having a memory. The communication device is part of the fuel cell and communicates with the sensors that detect and store information on the

operational parameters of the system such as the fuel storage unit, and communicating the data with other communication devices, such as a display device (Fig. 1 & 2; 4:15-35, 7:55-8:7, 9:12-30). One such operational parameter of a fuel storage unit would be the detection of remaining fuel. A controller compares the stored data against preset control parameters. Since the data comprises information such as environmental conditions, operating parameters and control parameters (4:45-68), it is obvious to one skilled in the art to acquire this information multiple times at predetermined times throughout the operating time of the electronic apparatus. The motivation to use a fuel cell unit with a storage unit, as part of the fuel cell unit is to provide a power management system that is compact and efficient and provides controlled and

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the fuel cell unit of Uchida with the storage unit of Colborn to improve the performance of the fuel cell unit by providing a better power management system that is more compact and efficient.

monitored information to a user for easier use (3:55-4:15).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,274 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Walker whose telephone number is 571-272-3458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,274

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K. Walker

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7